Developments in J.K. O'Regan's Sensorimotor account of Consciousness Andrew Owen Martin, Mark Bishop.

Department of Computing. Goldsmiths, University of London. United Kingdom

Abstract

How the scope of J.K. O'Regan's Sensorimotor account of Consciousness has developed and the implications thereof.

 $\leq 1983^1$ The world as outside memory.[7, 4]

Development: Vision is an interrogation of the environment, not the result of activation of an internal representation.

Development: The richness of visual experience arises from the accessibility of specific interrogation

Implication: A metric representation of the environment is not required for rich visual perception.

Implication: Change blindness is explained as a neglect to interrogate a feature.

 $\leq 2001^1$ Sensorimotor account of Visual Consciousness.[9, 3]

Development: Sensory stimulation is characterised by changes as actions are performed.

Development: Perception of an object is associated with the continuum of potential sensorimotor interdepen-

Implication: The blind spot and retinal scotoma do not require "filling in" mechanisms.

Implication: The temporal and spatial continuity of visual experience is explained.

$\leq 2004^1$ Sensorimotor account of Qualia.[8, 1, 2]

Development: All perception is an interrogation of the environment.

Development: Feel is an intrinsic quality of an action.

Development: All feels can be characterised by their profile of Richness, Bodiliness, Insubordinateness & Grabbiness.

Implication: Location of feeling is the result of the multimodal actions that would interrogate the feeling.

Implication: Sensory modalities feel distinct because the motor actions used for environmental interrogation are distinct.

Implication: Sensory experiences feel more "real" due to their higher profile of Richness, Bodiliness, Insubordinateness & Grabbiness.

 $\leq 2010^1$ Consciously experiencing a feel.[6, 5]

Development: Agents are conscious once they have cognitive access to the fact that they have cognitive access to the environment, and a notion of self.

Development: For a feel to be experienced it must be consciously attended to.

Development: The "hurt" of pain is a social construct.

Implication: As these features emerge in modern robotics, there no logical reason against conscious, feeling robots.

Implication: Stimuli not attended to can only effect subconscious behavioural changes.

Implication: Non-socialised beings (neonates, simple robots, simple animals) cannot feel hurt.

Conclusion

J.K. O'Regan's sensorimotor account has broadened its scope from visual consciousness to qualia in general. The importance of cultural constituents of phenomenal experience is introduced, including the necessity for a notion of self for a being to experience consciousness and the emotive aspects of sensations being culturally defined.

References

[1] E. Myin and J. O'Regan. Phenomenal consciousness lite: No thanks! Behavioural and Brain Sciences, 30(5/6):520–521, 2007.

[2] E. Myin and J. O'Regan. Situated perception and sensation in vision and other modalities: form an active to a sensorimotor account. In P. Robbins and A. Aydede, editors, Cambridge Handbook of Situated Cognition, pages 185–200. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[3] A. Noë and J. O'Regan. On the brain-basis of visual consciousness: A sensorimotor account. In A. Noë and E. Thompson, editors, Vision and mind: Selected readings in the philosophy of perception, pages 567–598. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, US, 2002.

[4] J. O'Regan. Solving the "real" mysteries of visual perception: The world as an outside memory. Canadian Journal of Psychology/Revue Canadianne de Psychologie, 46(3):461-488, 1992. http://nivea.psycho.univ-paris5.fr/CanJ/OReganCanJ1992RealMysteries.pdf.

[5] J. O'Regan. Why Red Doesn't Sound Like a Bell: Understanding the Feel of Consciousness. Oxford University Press, 2011. 182 pages.

[6] J. O'Regan and R. Arrabales. How to make a robot that feels. http://www.conscious-robots.com/en/conscious-machines/conscious-robots/how-to-make-a-robot-that.html, Feb 2010.

[7] J. O'Regan and A. Levy-Schoen. Integrating visual information from successive fixations: Does trans-saccadic fusion exist? Vision Research, 23(8):765-768, 1983. http://nivea.psycho.univ-paris5.fr/Manuscripts/ORegan.1983.IntegratingVisInfo.pdf.

[8] J. O'Regan, E. Myin, and A. Noë. Towards an analytic phenomenology: the concepts of "bodiliness" and "grabbiness". In A. Carsetti, editor, Seeing, thinking and knowing: Meaning and self-organisation in visual cognition and thought, pages 102–114. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004. http://nivea.psycho.univ-paris5. fr/Carsetti/Carsetti.html.

[9] J. K. O'Regan and A. Noë. A sensorimotor account of vision and visual consciousness. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 24(5):939–973; discussion 973–1031, 2001.

¹The year refers to the publication date of the earliest referenced paper that address the concepts described.